When quantum channel preserves product states*

Yu Guo,[1,†] Zhaofang Bai,[2,‡] Shuanping Du,[2,§] and Xiulan Li[1]

[1]*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shanxi Datong University, Datong 037009, China*
[2]*School of Mathematical Sciences, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361000, China*

Product states are always considered as the states that don't contain quantum correlation. We discuss here when a quantum channel sends the product states to themselves. The exact forms of such channels are proposed. It is shown that such a quantum channel is a local quantum channel, a composition of a local quantum channel and a flip operation, or such that one of the local states is fixed. Both finite- and infinite-dimensional systems are considered.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Db, 03.65.Yz.

arXiv:1409.5994v1 [quant-ph] 21 Sep 2014

Quantum systems can be correlated in ways inaccessible to classical objects. This quantum feature of correlations not only is the key to our understanding of quantum world, but also is essential for the powerful applications of quantum information and quantum computation. Product state is the state without any quantum correlation [1, 2]. It is the only state that has zero mutual information [3] which is interpreted as a measure of total correlations between its two subsystems. It neither contains quantum discord (QD) [4] nor contains the measurement-induced nonlocality (MIN) [5, 6]. Recently, it has been shown that the super discord [7] of ρ_{ab} is zero if and only if it is a product state [8].

In particular, it is crucial to study the behavior of quantum correlation under the influence of noisy channel [6, 9–21]. For example, local channel that cannot create QD is investigated in [9, 18, 19], local channel that preserves the state with vanished MIN is characterized in [6] and local channel that preserves the maximally entangled states is explored in [20]. The goal of this paper is to discuss when a quantum channel preserves the product states.

We fix some notations first. Let H, K be separable complex Hilbert spaces, and $\mathcal{B}(H,K)$ ($\mathcal{B}(H)$ when $K=H$) be the Banach space of all (bounded linear) operators from H into K. Recall that $A\in\mathcal{B}(H)$ is self-adjoint if $A=A^\dagger$ ($A^\dagger$ stands for the adjoint operator of A); and A is positive, denoted by $A\geq 0$, if A is self-adjoint with the spectrum falling in the interval $[0,\infty)$ (or equivalently, $\langle\psi|A|\psi\rangle\geq 0$ for all $|\psi\rangle\in H$). A linear map $\phi:\ \mathcal{B}(H)\to\mathcal{B}(K)$ is called a positive map if $A\geq 0$ implies $\phi(A)\geq 0$ for any $A\in\mathcal{B}(H)$. Let $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(H))$ be the algebra of all n by n matrices with entries are operators in $\mathcal{B}(H)$. Let $\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi:\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(H))\to\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{B}(K))$ be the map defined by $(\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi)[X_{ij}]=[\phi(X_{ij})]$. We call that ϕ is completely positive if $\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi$ is positive for any n.

We review the definition of the quantum channel. Let $\mathcal{T}(H)$, $\mathcal{T}(K)$ be the trace classes on H, K respectively. Recall that a quantum channel is described by a trace-preserving completely positive linear map $\phi:\ \mathcal{T}(H)\to\mathcal{T}(K)$. Every quantum channel ϕ between two systems respectively associated with Hilbert spaces H and K admits the form [22]

$$\phi(\cdot)=\sum_i X_i(\cdot)X_i^\dagger, \tag{1}$$

where $\{X_i\}\subset\mathcal{B}(H,K)$ satisfies that $\sum_i X_i^\dagger X_i=I_H$, I_H is the identity operator on H. If $\dim H=+\infty$ and $\dim K=+\infty$, then there may have infinite X_is in Eq. (1). We call ϕ is a *completely contractive channel* if $\phi(\mathcal{S}(H))$ is a single state [23], i.e. there exists a fixed state $\omega_0\in\mathcal{S}(H)$ such that

$$\phi(\cdot)=\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot)\omega_0. \tag{2}$$

Let $H_{ab}=H_a\otimes H_b$ with $\dim H_a\leq+\infty$ and $\dim H_b\leq+\infty$ be the state space of the bipartite system A+B. Let $\mathcal{S}(H_{ab})$ and $\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$ be the set of all quantum states acting on H_{ab} and the set of all product states in $\mathcal{S}(H_{ab})$ respectively. That is $\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})=\{\rho\otimes\delta:\rho\in\mathcal{S}(H_a),\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)\}$. Let $\{|i\rangle\}$ and $|j'\rangle$ be the orthonormal bases of H_a and H_b respectively. The operator $F=\sum_{i,j}|i\rangle|j'\rangle\langle j'|\langle i|$ is called the swap operator from $H_{ba}=H_b\otimes H_a$ to H_{ab} [24], namely, $F|\psi_b\rangle|\psi_a\rangle=|\psi_a\rangle|\psi_b\rangle$ for any $|\psi_b\rangle|\psi_a\rangle\in H_{ba}$ (note that F is an isometry since $F^\dagger F=I_{ba}$). Then $F\delta\otimes\rho F=\rho\otimes\delta\in\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$ for any $\delta\otimes\rho\in\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ba})$. We denote by $f(\delta\otimes\rho):=F\delta\otimes\rho F$.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1. Let $\phi:\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})\to\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ be a quantum channel. Then $\phi(\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab}))\subseteq\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$ if and only if it has one of the following forms.

(i) $\phi=\phi_a\otimes\phi_b$, where ϕ_a and ϕ_b denote the local quantum channels on part A and B respectively;

(ii) $\phi=f\circ(\psi_a\otimes\psi_b)$, where ψ_a is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_a)$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_b)$ and ψ_b is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_b)$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_a)$;

(iii) $\phi(\cdot)=\sigma\otimes\Lambda_b(\cdot)$, where σ is a state of part A, Λ_b is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_b)$;

(iv) $\phi(\cdot)=\Lambda_a(\cdot)\otimes\tau$, where τ is a state of part B, Λ_a is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_a)$.

*Dedicated to Prof. Jinchuan Hou, on the occasion of his 60th birthday

†Electronic address: guoyu3@aliyun.com
‡Corresponding author; Electronic address: baizhaofang@xmu.edu.cn
§Electronic address: shuanpingdu@yahoo.com

Theorem 1 implies that a quantum channel sends product states to product states if and only if it is a action of two local operations on part A and part B respectively or is a action of quantum channel from the total system to a subsystem with another reduced state fixed. (In Theorem 1, for the notations $\sigma\otimes\Lambda_b(\cdot)$ and $\Lambda_a(\cdot)\otimes\tau$, with some abuse of terminology, σ can be viewed as a completely contractive quantum channel from A+B to a single state σ of part A and τ can be viewed as a completely contractive quantum channel from A+B to a single state τ of part B.)

In order to prove Theorem 1, the following lemmas are necessary.

Lemma 1. Let $\Lambda_{a,b}:\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})\to\mathcal{T}(H_{a,b})$ be a quantum channel. Then ϕ as (iii) or (iv) above is a quantum channel on $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$.

Proof. We check the case of (iii), the case of (iv) can be argued similarly. We only need to show $\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi:\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{T}(H_{ab}))\to\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{T}(H_{ab}))$ is positive for any n. For any positive operator $[S_{ij}]\geq 0$ in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{T}(H_{ab}))$, we have $(\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi)[S_{ij}]=[\sigma\otimes\Lambda_b(S_{ij})]$. Note that $[\sigma\otimes\Lambda_b(S_{ij})]\geq 0$ if and only if $\sigma\otimes[\Lambda_b(S_{ij})]\geq 0$. Therefore $(\mathbb{1}_n\otimes\phi)[S_{ij}]$ is positive since $[\Lambda_b(S_{ij})]\geq 0$. The proof is completed.■

Lemma 2. Let $K_{a,b}$ be separable complex Hilbert space and let $\phi_{a,b}:\mathcal{T}(H_{a,b})\to\mathcal{T}(K_{a,b})$ be a trace-preserving positive map. If $\phi_a\otimes\phi_b$ is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ to $\mathcal{T}(K_{ab})$, then $\phi_{a,b}$ is a quantum channel from $H_{a,b}$ to $K_{a,b}$.

Proof. Let $[S_{ij}]\in\mathcal{M}_n(\mathcal{T}(H_a))$, $[S_{ij}]\geq 0$. Then $[S_{ij}\otimes\rho_0]\geq 0$ for any $\rho_0\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)$. Thus $[\phi_a(S_{ij})\otimes\phi_b(\rho_0)]\geq 0$. For any $|x_0\rangle$, $|y_0\rangle\in K_a$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathbb{1}_n\otimes|x_0\rangle\langle y_0|\otimes\mathbb{1}_{K_b})[\phi_a(S_{ij})\otimes\phi_b(\rho_0)]\\&\cdot(\mathbb{1}_n\otimes|y_0\rangle\langle x_0|\otimes\mathbb{1}_{K_b})\\=\;&[\phi_a(S_{ij})\otimes\langle y_0|\phi_b(\rho_0)|y_0\rangle|x_0\rangle\langle x_0|]\\=\;&\langle y_0|\phi_b(\rho_0)|y_0\rangle[\phi_a(S_{ij})\otimes|x_0\rangle\langle x_0|]\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{K_b}$ denotes the identity map on $\mathcal{B}(K_b)$. Hence $[\phi_a(S_{ij})]\geq 0$, that is ϕ_a is completely positive, thus it is a quantum channel. Using similar argument, we can obtain ϕ_b is also a quantum channel. ■

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma 1, the 'if' part is obvious. We check the 'only if' part below. $\phi(\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab}))$ has at most three different cases: (1) There exists a state $\sigma\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab}))\subseteq\sigma\otimes\mathcal{S}(H_b)$; (2) There exists a state $\tau\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)$ such that $\phi(\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab}))\subseteq\mathcal{S}(H_a)\otimes\tau$; (3) There exist $\rho_1\otimes\delta_1$ and $\rho_2\otimes\delta_2$ such that $\phi(\rho_i\otimes\delta_i)=\sigma_i\otimes\tau_i$, $i=1,2$, with σ_1 and σ_2 are linearly independent and τ_1 and τ_2 are linearly independent.

Case 1. Since for any $\gamma\otimes\omega\in\mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$, $\phi(\gamma\otimes\omega)=\sigma\otimes\pi_{\gamma\otimes\omega}$ we let $\Lambda_b(\gamma\otimes\omega)=\pi_{\gamma\otimes\omega}$. Then $\Lambda_b=\mathrm{Tr}_a\circ\phi$ is is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_b)$, where Tr_a denotes the partial reduction map up to part A, i.e., ϕ has the form in item (iii).

Case 2. Similar to Case 1, we can get $\phi=\Lambda_a\otimes\tau$ is a quantum channel on $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ with Λ_a is a quantum channel from $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ to $\mathcal{T}(H_a)$, which is the form in item (iv).

Case 3. Let $\phi(\rho_1\otimes\delta_2)=\sigma_3\otimes\tau_3$. Then either (3.1) σ_1 and σ_3 are linearly dependent or (3.2) τ_1 and τ_3 are linearly dependent.

Case 3.1. If σ_1 and σ_3 are linearly dependent, then $\sigma_1=\sigma_3$ and $\tau_3=\tau_2$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\rho&=\{\rho\otimes\delta:\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)\},\\\mathcal{R}_\delta&=\{\rho\otimes\delta:\rho\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Next we show that for any $\rho\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)$, $\phi(\mathcal{L}_\rho)\subseteq\mathcal{L}_\sigma$ for some σ (depending on ρ), and that for any $\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)$, $\phi(\mathcal{R}_\delta)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_\tau$ for some τ (depending on δ).

Let $\phi(\rho_2\otimes\delta_1)=\sigma_4\otimes\tau_4$, then either σ_2 and σ_4 are linearly dependent or τ_2 and τ_4 are linearly dependent. If $\sigma_4\neq\sigma_2$, then $\tau_4=\tau_2$ and thus $\frac{1}{4}\phi((\rho_1+\rho_2)\otimes(\delta_1+\delta_2))=\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_1\otimes(\tau_1+\tau_2)+\sigma_2\otimes\tau_2+\sigma_4\otimes\tau_2)$ is not a product state, which implies that $\sigma_4=\sigma_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{4}\phi((\rho_1+\rho_2)\otimes(\delta_1+\delta_2))\\=\;&\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_1\otimes(\tau_1+\tau_2)+\sigma_2\otimes(\sigma_4+\sigma_2))\end{aligned}$$

is a product state leads to $\tau_4=\tau_1$. That is, $\phi(\rho_2\otimes\delta_1)=\sigma_2\otimes\tau_1$. Assume that $\phi(\rho_1\otimes\delta)=\eta\otimes\xi$, $\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)$, then (a) either σ_1 and η are linearly dependent or τ_1 and ξ are linearly dependent, and (b) either σ_1 and η are linearly dependent or τ_2 and ξ are linearly dependent. It turns out that $\eta=\sigma_1$. That is

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_1})\subseteq\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_1}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}_{\rho_2})\subseteq\mathcal{L}_{\sigma_2},\ \phi(\mathcal{R}_{\delta_1})\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{\tau_1},\ \phi(\mathcal{R}_{\delta_2})\subseteq\mathcal{R}_{\tau_2}.$$

For arbitrarily given $\rho\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)$, let

$$\phi(\rho\otimes\delta_1)=\xi\otimes\tau_1,\ \phi(\rho\otimes\delta_2)=\varsigma\otimes\tau_2.$$

Then $\xi=\varsigma$. For any $\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)$, let

$$\phi(\rho\otimes\delta)=\sigma\otimes\tau.$$

Then either ξ and σ are linearly dependent or τ and τ_1 are linearly dependent, and either ξ and σ are linearly dependent or τ and τ_2 are linearly dependent. We thus can conclude that $\xi=\sigma$. That is, for any $\rho\in\mathcal{S}(H_a)$,

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}_\rho)\subseteq\mathcal{L}_\sigma\text{ for some }\sigma\in\mathcal{S}(H_a).\tag{3}$$

Similarly, for any $\delta\in\mathcal{S}(H_b)$,

$$\phi(\mathcal{R}_\delta)\subseteq\mathcal{R}_\tau\text{ for some }\tau\in\mathcal{S}(H_b).\tag{4}$$

From Eqs. (3) and (4), we can let

$$\phi_a:\rho\mapsto\sigma\tag{5}$$

and

$$\phi_b : \delta \mapsto \tau. \tag{6}$$

It is clear that $\phi = \rho_a \otimes \phi_b$. By lemma 2, ϕ_a and ϕ_b are quantum channels on $\mathcal{T}(H_a)$ and $\mathcal{T}(H_b)$ respectively.

Case 3.2. If τ_1 and τ_3 are linearly dependent, using the similar argument as Case 3.1, we can conclude that for any $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H_a)$,

$$\phi(\mathcal{L}_\rho) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_\tau \text{ for some } \tau \in \mathcal{S}(H_b). \tag{7}$$

Similarly, for any $\delta \in \mathcal{S}(H_b)$,

$$\phi(\mathcal{R}_\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H_a). \tag{8}$$

We thus can let

$$\psi_b : \rho \mapsto \tau \tag{9}$$

and

$$\psi_a : \delta \mapsto \sigma. \tag{10}$$

Therefore ϕ has the desired form as item (ii). We now complete the proof. ■

Furthermore, if the output states of a channel ϕ on $\mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ are always product states, i.e., $\phi(\mathcal{S}(H_{ab})) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$, one can easily conclude that ϕ has the form as item (iii) or (iv) in Theorem 1.

Proposition 1. Let $\phi : \mathcal{T}(H_{ab}) \to \mathcal{T}(H_{ab})$ be a quantum channel. Then $\phi(\mathcal{S}(H_{ab})) \subseteq \mathcal{S}_P(H_{ab})$ if and only if it admits the form as item (iii) or (iv) in Theorem 1.

In summary, the quantum channel that preserves product states is characterized mathematically. It is in nature a combination of two local quantum channels or a quantum channel such that one of the local states is fixed. Moreover, if the latter occurs, then it sends any state to a product state and vice versa. Especially, if ϕ_a (ϕ_b) or ψ_a (ψ_b) in Theorem 1 is completely contractive, then ϕ sends any state to product state as well.

This work is partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11301312, Grant No. 11001230, Grant No. 11171249), the Natural Science Foundation of Shanxi (Grant No. 2013021001-1, Grant No. 2012011001-2), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian (2013J01022, 2014J01024) and the Research start-up fund for Doctors of Shanxi Datong University (Grant No. 2011-B-01).

[1] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and K. Horodecki: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 865 (2009).
[2] O. Gühne and G. Tóth, Phys. Rep. **474**, 1 (2009).
[3] S. Jevtic, D. Jennings, and T. Rudolph: *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 052121 (2012).
[4] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 017901 (2001).
[5] S. Luo and S. Fu: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 120401 (2011).
[6] Y. Guo and J. Hou: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46**, 325301 (2013).
[7] U. Singh and A. K. Pati: arXiv: 1211.0939v2
[8] B. Li, L. Chen and H. Fan, *Phys. Lett. A* **378**, 1249–1253 (2014).
[9] A. Streltsov, H. Kampermann, and D. Bruß: *Phys. Rev. lett.* **107**, 170502 (2011).
[10] S. N. Filippov, T. Rybár, and M. Ziman: *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 012303 (2012).
[11] K. Życzkowski, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki: *Phys. Rev. A* **65**, 012101 (2001).
[12] B. R. Rao, R. Srikanth, C. M. Chandrashekar, and S. Banerjee: *Phys. Rev. A* **83**, 064302 (2011).
[13] W. Cui, Z. Xi, and Y. Pan: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 155303 (2009).
[14] A. Shabani and D. A. Lidar: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 100402 (2009).
[15] F. Altintas and R. Eryigit: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 415306 (2010).
[16] L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, and S. Maniscalco: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 200401 (2010).
[17] F. Ciccarello and V. Giovannetti: *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 010102 (2012).
[18] X. Hu, H. Fan, D. L. Zhou, and W. M. Liu: *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 032102 (2012).
[19] Y. Guo and J. Hou: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46**, 155301 (2013).
[20] Y. Guo, Z. Bai, and S. Du: Int. J. Theor. Phys. **52**, 3820–3829 (2013).
[21] A. S. M. Hassan and P. S. Joag: *Eur. Phys. Lett.* **103**, 10004 (2013).
[22] J. Hou: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 385201 (2010).
[23] K. Życzkowski: *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**, 355302 (2008).
[24] Y. Guo and J. Hou: *Rep. Math. Phys.* **72**, 25–40 (2013).